

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,072	01/21/2004	Robert F. Sheppard	200901410-1	3704	
22879 HFWI ETT-P	7590 03/30/2011 ACKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Administration			KARDOS, NEIL R		
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 ART UNIT PAPE				PAPER NUMBER	
	NS, CO 80528		3623		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2011	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/763,072	SHEPPARD, ROBERT F.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Neil R. Kardos	3623				
	10/763,072 Examiner				

	Neil R. Kardos	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
Name of the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3° C periods: Appended: Appended: Appended: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed date of the final rejection.	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow	hich places the (3) a Request ving time		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of sex under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. C. □ The NOtice of Appeal was filled on A brief in complete.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	isideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , ,		DTOL 204)		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
	/Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3623			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In order to properly swear behind a reference and establish prior invention, Applicant must present facts sufficient to show (1) actual reduction to practice of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference, (2) conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence prior to the reference date to a subsequent actual reduction to practice, or (3) conception of the invention prior to the ference coupled with due diligence from prior to the reference date to the filling date of the application (constructive reduction to practice, or MEEP 715.07(III).

In this case. Applicant has not shown facts sufficient to meet any of these three requirements.

Applicant has not shown (1) (above) because Applicant has not shown that the invention was built and/or practiced and that it worked for its intended purpose (i.e. actual reduction to practice). See MPEP 2138.05(II) for an explanation of the requirements to establish actual reduction to practice.

Applicant has not shown (2) or (3) (above) because, while Applicant has shown evidence sufficient to establish conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference, Applicant has not shown sufficient evidence of diligence up to actual reduction to practice or the filing date of the application. Applicant has merely alleged diligence in the affidavit with insufficient evidence of facts to establish diligence. See MPEP 716.07(a) and 2134.06 for an explanation of the requirements to establish due diligence.